FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mark Zeiss
DOCKET NO.: 25-01557.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-36-301-025

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Zeiss, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$93,664
IMPR.:	$276,891
TOTAL:	$370,555

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 3,742 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1977 and is approximately 48 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and garage with 625 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 15,335 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code than the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,119 to 12,963 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,043 to 4,174 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 25 to 63 years old. Each comparable has a basement and central air conditioning. Four comparables each have one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 562 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February 2023 to

December 2024 for prices ranging from $701,000 to $1,130,000 or from $222.61 to $307.26 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $370,555. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $1,111,776 or $297.11 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. The comparables are located in the same assessment neighborhood code than the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 9,624 to 18,276 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,050 to 3,663 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 60 to 88 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 484 to 720 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from October 2023 to September 2024 for prices ranging from $1,000,000 to $1,375,000 or from $315.26 to $450.82 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #5 as well as board of review comparable #3 due to their remote sale dates occurring in 2023 which is less proximate in time to the January 1, 2025, assessment date given other sales available in the record. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #4 which were not reported to have finished basements, unlike the subject and other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sales #1 and #2. The Board finds that these two comparables sold more proximate to the January 1, 2025, assessment date and are most similar to the subject in location, finished basement, design and some features. However, each comparable is older in age and smaller in dwelling size, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make these two comparables more equivalent to the subject. The comparables sold in June and September 2024 for prices of $1,000,000 and $1,375,000 or $315.26 and $450.82 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $1,111,776 or $297.11 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record on an overall market value basis but below on a price per square foot basis, which appears to be

logical given the subjects slightly larger dwelling size and newer age. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Zeiss, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085