FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Diane Grauer
DOCKET NO.: 25-01522.001-R-2
PARCEL NO.: 16-23-405-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Diane Grauer, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$114,068
IMPR.:	$485,983
TOTAL:	$600,051

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stone exterior construction with 3,944 square feet of living area.[1] The dwelling was constructed in 2004 and is 21 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 624 square foot garage. The property has a 13,000 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located from .45 of a mile to 1.17 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,447 to 4,417 square feet of living area. The homes are 24 to 102 years

[1] The Board finds the property record card submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant, to be the best evidence of the subject's features.

old. Each dwelling has a basement foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 792 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 10,899 to 26,328 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from January 2023 to January 2025 for prices ranging from $1,001,500 to $1,600,000 or from $290.54 to $438.60 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of $439,055, for an estimated market value of $1,317,297 or $334.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $600,051. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $1,800,333 or $456.47 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 33.33% statutory level of assessment.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located from .22 of a mile to 1.36 miles from the subject, two of which are within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of stone, brick, or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,582 to 4,931 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 19 to 74 years old. Each dwelling has a basement foundation, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 726 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 15,859 to 22,000 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August 2023 to June 2025 for prices ranging from $1,900,000 to $2,650,000 or from $476.41 to $537.42 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 through #5, as well as the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which differ from the subject in age, location, and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2025 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables sold most proximate to the assessment date and are similar to the subject in location and features, with varying degrees of similarity in age and dwelling size. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from $1,001,500 to $2,650,000 or from $290.54 to $537.42 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $1,800,333 or $456.47 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Diane Grauer, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085