FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Raymond Scripture
DOCKET NO.: 25-01518.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-23-104-048

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Raymond Scripture, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$63,571
IMPR.:	$100,817
TOTAL:	$164,388

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood fame exterior construction with 1,380 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1910 and is approximately 115 years old. Features of the home include a basement, 1 bathroom, central air conditioning, and an 896 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,173 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within .28 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,545 to 9,152 square feet of land area and are improved with 1½-story or 2-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,231 to 1,500 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 99 or 106 years old. Each comparable has a basement and 1½ or 2 bathrooms. One comparable has

central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and two comparables each have a garage with 400 or 440 square feet of building area. The properties sold from April 2024 to June 2025 for prices ranging from $170,000 to $390,000 or from $138.10 to $274.65 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to $124,188 which reflects a market value of $372,601 or $270.00 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $164,388. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $493,213 or $357.40 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within .87 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,524 to 17,462 square feet of land area and are improved with 1.75-story or 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,120 to 1,660 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 95 to 108 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area, 1½ or 3 bathrooms, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 484 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning and two comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The properties sold from July 2024 to August 2025 for prices ranging from $450,000 to $720,000 or from $366.39 to $433.73 per square foot of living area, land included.

The Moraine Township Assessor critiqued the appellant's comparables arguing the appellant's comparables #1 was in poor condition and sold in as-is condition, comparable #2 is a two-unit residential property and sold in as-is condition and comparable #3 was sold via a special warranty deed and sold in as-is condition. The Board of Review did not submit any documentary evidence to support these assertions. However, the Board of Review did submit a copy of the PTAX 203 for a December 2025 sale of the appellant's comparable #3.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier based on its lower sales price. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparable #2 and #3 which are less similar to the subject in bathroom count, garage amenity and lack of central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #1 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, bathroom count, garage amenity and other features. However, these properties present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in basement finished area, dwelling size, site size and/or garage capacity suggesting adjustments are needed to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold in July 2024 and June 2025 for prices of $390,000 and $450,000 or $274.65 and $401.79 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $493,213 or $357.40 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the two comparable sales in this record on an overall market value basis and within the two best comparables on a per square foot basis. After considering appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is justified and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Raymond Scripture, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085