FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Shutan
DOCKET NO.: 25-01084.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-24-405-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Shutan, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$18,782
IMPR.:	$100,557
TOTAL:	$119,339

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,324 square feet of living area.[1] The dwelling was built in 1976 and is approximately 49 years old. Features of the property include a 650 square foot finished lower level, a 624 square foot partial basement, central air conditioning, 2½ bathrooms, a fireplace and a garage with 550 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 9,307 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject, three of which are located approximately .74 or .77 of a mile

[1] The Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the subject's property record card that was provided by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from approximately 12,345 to 26,532 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,140 to 1,398 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 50 to 62 years old. Each comparable has a 552 to a 648 square foot basement, central air conditioning and either 1½, 2, 2½ or 3 bathrooms. Two comparables each have a fireplace and three comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 240 to 648 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February 2023 to July 2024 for prices ranging from $310,000 to $391,500 or from $255.50 to $280.04 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to $111,703, which would reflect a market value of $335,143 or $253.13 per square foot of living area, including land, when using 1,324 square feet and applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $119,339. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $358,053 or $270.43 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from approximately .15 to .77 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables have sites that range in size from 11,778 to 26,534 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,152 to 1,398 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 49 to 62 years old. Two comparables were reported to each have a 621 or a 648 square foot basement and all four comparables were reported to each have from 300 to 750 square feet of basement finish. Each comparable has central air conditioning, either 1½, 2 or 2½ bathrooms and a garage ranging in size from 300 to 648 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. Comparable #2 has a frame utility shed. The comparables sold from May 2024 to June 2025 for prices ranging from $350,000 to $391,500 or from $265.76 to $329.34 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one sale was common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its sale date occurring in 2023, less proximate to the lien date at issue than other sales in the record. The Board has also given less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3, as

well as board of review comparable #3 due to their lack of a garage and/or their older dwelling age, when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #4, along with board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4, which includes the parties' common comparable. The Board finds these three comparables sold more proximate to the January 1, 2025 assessment date and are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size and age. However, each comparable has varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in site size, design and other features, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these most similar comparables sold from May 2024 to June 2025 for prices ranging from $350,000 to $391,500 or from $265.76 to $285.71 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $358,053 or $270.43 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Shutan, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085