FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Miltton R. Unda
DOCKET NO.: 25-00850.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-33-301-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Miltton R. Unda, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$8,489
IMPR.:	$76,074
TOTAL:	$84,563

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 2,456 square feet of living area.[1] The dwelling was constructed in 1930 and is approximately 95 years old. Features of the home include a basement, three bathrooms and a garage with 484 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 6,770 square foot site and is located in North Chicago, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from .41 of a mile to 1.68 miles from the subject with one comparable being located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,752 to 9,692 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood frame

[1] The Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the property record card provided by the board of review, that was not refuted by the appellant.

exterior construction ranging in size from 2,653 to 2,806 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 103 to 126 years old. Each comparable has a basement and four bathrooms. Two comparables each have a garage with 400 to 560 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from September 2023 to February 2025 for prices of $245,000 and $250,000 or ranging from $87.31 to $94.23 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $84,563. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $253,714 or $103.30 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. The comparables are located within .39 of a mile from the subject and in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 3,929 to 11,256 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick, aluminum siding or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,122 to 2,392 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 97 to 125 years old. Each comparable has a basement and from two to three bathrooms. Two comparables each have central air conditioning and three comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 324 to 528 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has a fireplace. The comparables sold from February 2024 to June 2025 for prices ranging from $269,900 to $302,000 or from $114.97 to $142.32 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4 due to their differences from subject in location, lot size, central air conditioning feature and/or garage amenity.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #1 along with board of review comparable sale #3. The Board finds that these two comparables are most similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, design, lot size and features. The comparables sold in February and October 2024 for prices of $245,000 and $269,900 or $87.31 and $122.68 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $253,714 or $103.30 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after

considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 20, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Miltton R Unda, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085