



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Teddy Barquin
DOCKET NO.: 25-00635.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-32-301-049

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Teddy Barquin, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,432
IMPR.: \$131,965
TOTAL: \$150,397

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2025 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 2,865 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006 and is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include a 1,259 square foot basement, central air conditioning, 4 bathrooms, a fireplace and a 622 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 9,113 square foot site and is located in Round Lake, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable properties that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .29 to .52 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from approximately 9,169 to 18,692 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,331 to 2,585 square feet of living area. The

dwelling are each 22 years old. Each comparable has from an 844 to a 1075 square foot basement, central air conditioning, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 528 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has a fireplace. The comparables sold from February 2023 to June 2025 for prices ranging from \$347,500 to \$410,000 or from \$149.08 to \$158.73 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$146,100, which would reflect a market value of \$438,344 or \$153.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$154,146. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$462,484 or \$161.43 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable properties that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located approximately .29 or .53 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparables #1 and #2 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #2, respectively, which were previously described. However, the board of review disclosed that its comparable #2 has 675 square feet of basement finish and a sale date that occurred in April 2025, versus the appellant's description of this property with an unfinished basement and a sale date of May 2025.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted three comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as two sales were common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, due to its sale date occurring in 2023, less proximate to the lien date at issue and the dwelling is substantially smaller than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' two common comparables, which sold more proximate to the lien date at issue and are overall more similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and some feature. These two comparables sold in April/May and June 2025 for prices of \$405,000 and \$410,000 or for \$156.67 and \$158.73 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$462,484 or \$161.43 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the two best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the

subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is excessive. Therefore, based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Teddy Barquin, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085