FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Wang Pin K. Yang
DOCKET NO.: 24-55505.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-33-311-043-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Wang Pin K. Yang, the appellant, by attorney Thomas E. Sweeney, of Siegel Jennings Co., LPA in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***a reduction*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$13,625
IMPR.:	$15,750
TOTAL:	$29,375

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,260 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 137 years old. Features include a full basement and 1 bathroom. The property has a 2,500 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables within the subject's assessment neighborhood and "less than 1 mile" from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,008 to 1,325 square feet of living area. The homes are 136 or 146 years old. The comparables each have a full basement and 1 bathroom.

Comparable #3 has central air conditioning, and comparables #1 and #2 each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $11,650 to $12,781 or from $8.79 to $11.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to $13,747 or $10.91 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $33,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $19,375 or $15.38 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,122 to 1,380 square feet of living area. The homes are from 127 to 144 years old. The comparables each have a partial or full basement, 1 or 3 bathrooms, and central air conditioning. Comparables #1 and #3 each have a 2-car garage. Comparable #3 was disclosed in the grid analysis to be a "renovated" property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $18,650 to $42,650 or from $16.11 to $30.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #3 which appears to be an outlier with its significantly higher improvement assessment in relation to the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 which are relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, foundation type, and bathroom count. However, five of the comparables have central air conditioning and/or a garage amenity, both of which are features the subject lacks, suggesting downward adjustments would be required for these differences in order to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These six comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $11,650 to $19,583 or from $8.79 to $17.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $19,375 or $15.38 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the

subject's improvement assessment is excessive. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Wang Pin K. Yang, by attorney:
Thomas E. Sweeney
Siegel Jennings Co., LPA
77 W. Wacker Dr.
Suite 4500
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602