FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Sanett
DOCKET NO.: 24-54605.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-104-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Sanett, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$46,128
IMPR.:	$95,464
TOTAL:	$141,592

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,280 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 21 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area,[1] 3 full and 1 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 2,976 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables

[1] The board of review indicated the subject dwelling has a finished basement area and 3 full and 1 additional half bathroom, which was not refuted by the appellant.

consist of class 2-78, 2-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,164 to 2,290 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 28 to 43 years old. Each comparable has a basement, 2 full bathrooms and a 2-car garage. Three comparables have 1 or 2 additional half bathrooms, four comparables each have central air conditioning, and four comparables have either 1 or 2 fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $72,625 to $84,500 or from $32.42 to $37.06 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $141,592. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $95,464 or $41.87 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78, 2-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,182 to 2,730 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 13 or 27 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, 1 or 2 fireplaces, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $95,400 to $133,607 or from $42.00 to $49.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparables for the Board's consideration,. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #3, #4 and #5 along with the board of review's comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to differences in their ages, dwelling sizes, and/or lack of central air conditioning when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are overall most similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and most features. These three comparables have improvement assessments that range from $72,625 to $95,400 or from $32.42 to $43.72 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $95,464 or $41.87 per square foot of living area falls slightly above the best comparables in the record on an overall basis and within the range on a per square foot of living area basis. The subject's higher overall improvement assessment is logical given the subject's newer age and larger bathroom count in relation to the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the

appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul Sanett, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602