FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Muhammad Jalaluddin
DOCKET NO.: 24-53687.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-22-304-073-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Muhammad Jalaluddin, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$11,101
IMPR.:	$60,897
TOTAL:	$71,998

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,017 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old.[1] The home features a slab foundation, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 638 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 townhome or row house property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information, including property

[1] The appellant's evidence is internally inconsistent regarding the subject's property characteristics. The Board finds the subject dwelling is approximately 19 years old, has slab foundation, and has 3½ bathrooms according to the property characteristics printout provided by the appellant and the board of review's grid analysis.

characteristics printouts that were used by the Board to verify or correct some of the data in the grid analysis, on five equity comparables. The properties are located within the subject's assessment neighborhood, and the appellant's grid analysis reported "n/a" for the comparables' proximity to the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-95, 3-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,083 to 2,205 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 21 or 22 years old. Each comparable has a slab foundation, 2 bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $50,963 to $54,808 or from $24.47 to $24.86 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject property has a total assessment of $71,998. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $60,897 or $30.19 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood, block and street as the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-95, 3-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,053 to 2,220 square feet of living area. The dwellings are each 20 years old. Each comparable has a slab foundation, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $64,733 to $67,915 or from $30.59 to $31.57 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration, all of which are relatively similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and foundation type. However, only the appellant's comparables require adjustments for varying degrees of similarity to the subject in other features, such as their fewer number bathrooms and a fireplace, which the subject lacks. Nevertheless, the parties' nine comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $50,963 to $67,915 or from $24.47 to $31.57 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $60,897 or $30.19 falls within the range of all the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the both parties' comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Muhammad Jalaluddin, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602