FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: New Midwest Rentals LLC
DOCKET NO.: 24-52096.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-03-204-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are New Midwest Rentals LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$47,879
IMPR.:	$162,121
TOTAL:	$210,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story row house of masonry exterior construction with 3,314 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 133 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area,[1] 3 full bathrooms, and two fireplaces. The property has a 1,542 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-10 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood, along with property

[1] The Board finds the subject's basement has a finished area as disclosed by the board of review, which was not reported nor refuted by the appellant.

characteristics printouts that were used by the Board to verify or correct some data in the grid analysis. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story, class 2-10 row houses of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,750 to 3,647 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 113 to 142 years old. The appellant reported the comparables each have a full basement with "n/a" disclosed for the finished area. Each comparable has 2 or 3 full bathrooms, with three having one additional half bathroom, and from 1 to 3 fireplaces. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $64,750 to $139,334 or from $37.00 to $39.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $210,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $162,121 or $48.92 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood and within the subject's subarea or approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-10 row houses of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,230 to 3,352 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 131 or 137 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, two of which have finished area, and 1, 4 or 5 full and 1 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, and from 2 to 4 fireplaces. Comparable #1 has a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $164,316 to $209,190 or from $49.28 to $62.41 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the #1, #2 and #5 which are less similar to the subject in design, age and/or dwelling size than the other comparables in the record. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #1 which has a garage amenity, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are overall most similar to the subject in design, age, dwelling size, location and some features. However, these comparables require adjustments for varying degrees of similarity to the subject in other features, including a basement finish, if any, and/or the presence of central air conditioning, which is not a feature of the subject. These five comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $113,180 to $174,520 or from $38.21 to $53.95 per

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $162,121 or $48.92 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 20, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

New Midwest Rentals LLC, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602