



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tahani Zoubai & Anas Alzoobi
DOCKET NO.: 24-48521.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-31-304-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tahani Zoubai & Anas Alzoobi, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$38,390
IMPR.: \$87,628
TOTAL: \$126,018

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,184 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 27 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 4-car garage.¹ The property has an approximately 46,533 square foot site and is located in Burr Ridge, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted a grid analysis and Property Search

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the grid analysis submitted by the board of review which was not refuted by the appellants. The appellants submitted the Property Search Details printout for the subject property which described the subject's garage as "None Masonry Attached."

Details printouts with information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within 0.24 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story class 2-09 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,606 to 6,426 square feet of living area. The homes range from 29 to 38 years old. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning and two fireplaces. Three properties are reported to have from a 2-car to a 3.5-car garage and one property is depicted as having no garage.² The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$65,000 to \$83,192 or from \$10.36 to \$13.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$73,219 or \$11.84 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$126,018. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$87,628 or \$14.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story class 2-09 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,774 to 6,164 square feet of living area. The homes are from 27 to 33 years old. Each comparable has a basement with three having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, two or four fireplaces and a 3.5-car or a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$89,000 to \$120,000 or from \$15.35 to \$19.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives reduced weight to appellants comparables #1 and #4 along with board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 which differ from the subject in basement finished area and/or have a 2-car garage when compared to the subject's 4-car garage.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants comparable #3 and board of review comparable #4 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size, unfinished basement and other features. These two comparables have

² The Property Search Details printout for appellants' comparable #1 describes the garage as "None Masonry Attached."

improvement assessments of \$75,185 and \$91,364 or \$11.70 and \$15.35 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$87,628 or \$14.17 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence in this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tahani Zoubai & Anas Alzoobi, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602