



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Matthew James  
DOCKET NO.: 24-48095.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-14-419-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew James, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$29,295  
**IMPR.:** \$90,705  
**TOTAL:** \$120,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,904 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 6 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an approximately 4,725 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within 1.2 miles of the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-08 dwellings or a class 2-11 multi-family building of frame and masonry, frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size

from 3,720 to 4,485 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 6 to 19 years old. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, and a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$74,879 to \$92,499 or from \$20.13 to \$22.65 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$83,311 or \$21.34 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$120,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$90,705 or \$23.23 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, three of which are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and one comparable is located outside of the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,030 to 3,229 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 2 to 14 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car or a 2½-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$81,180 to \$94,816 or from \$25.82 to \$30.59 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, and the board of review's comparables which are less similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, class and/or garage size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 which are more similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size and most features. However, the Board finds these two dwellings are somewhat older in age, suggesting upward adjustment could be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$89,660 and \$92,499 or \$22.17 and \$22.65 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$90,705 or \$23.23 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record on an overall improvement assessment basis and falls above the two best comparables on a per square foot basis, which appears to be logical given the subject's newer dwelling age. After considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Matthew James, by attorney:  
Kyle Gordon Kamego  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602