



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Hastings Cutoff Group, Ltd.
DOCKET NO.: 24-43806.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-35-220-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hastings Cutoff Group, Ltd., the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,562
IMPR.: \$21,628
TOTAL: \$33,190

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,356 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 111 years old. Features include a basement finished with a recreation room and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,272 to 2,564 square feet of gross

building area. The buildings are from 111 to 118 years old. Each building has a basement and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,500 to \$23,658 or from \$8.09 to \$9.36 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$21,628 or \$9.18 per square foot of gross building area.

The appellant provided a copy of the Cook County Board of Review final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$49,141. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$37,579 or \$15.95 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 residences of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,216 to 2,587 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 115 to 122 years old. Each comparable has a basement, one of which is finished with a recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace, and three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,249 to \$45,663 or from \$16.81 to \$18.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables due to their dissimilar "2-06" classification codes of 2-story residences in contrast to the subject property's "2-11" classification code of a multi-family building. Moreover, two of these comparables have central air conditioning or lack a garage, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables which are class 2-11 properties of multi-family buildings, like the subject, and are also relatively similar to the subject in location, age, building size, and most features. These five comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$19,500 to \$23,658 or from \$8.09 to \$9.36 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$37,579 or \$15.95 per square foot of building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering the appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the

subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment, commensurate with the appellant's request, is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hastings Cutoff Group, Ltd., by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602