



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Barbara Eamon
DOCKET NO.: 24-43269.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-32-119-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Barbara Eamon, the appellant, by Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,997
IMPR.: \$19,133
TOTAL: \$42,130

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 9,375 square foot site improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 1,272 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1950 and is approximately 74 years old. Features of the property include a partial basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, one bathroom and a 1-car garage. The property is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,210 to 1,525 square feet of living area and are from 70 to 83 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement, central air conditioning,

one bathroom, and a 1-car, 1½-car or 2-car garage. Two comparables have one or two fireplaces. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .1 to .7 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,502 to \$23,491 or from \$14.46 to \$15.43 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$19,131.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$45,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,003 or \$17.30 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,135 to 1,473 square feet of living area and are from 57 to 85 years old. Three comparables have full or partial basements with two having finished area. One comparable has a slab foundation. Two comparables have central air conditioning and one fireplace. The comparables have 1, 1½ or 2½ bathrooms and a 1-car or 2-car garage. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject and are in the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$20,507 to \$30,625 or from \$18.07 to \$20.79 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the building assessed value per square foot for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #1 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size, exterior construction and features. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #2 due to the property's exterior frame construction and slab foundation which is dissimilar to the subject's exterior masonry construction and partial basement. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject in style, exterior construction and/or size. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 that are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that have either 1,210 or 1,361 square feet of living area and are 70 to 83 years old. These properties have similar features as the subject property and have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,502 to \$21,004 or from \$14.46 to \$15.43 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,003 or \$17.30 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on

this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Barbara Eamon, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602