



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Janula Bumbaris
DOCKET NO.: 24-42958.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-05-321-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Janula Bumbaris, the appellant(s), by attorney Max E. Callahan, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$57,212
IMPR.: \$32,788
TOTAL: \$90,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 5,750 square foot parcel of land improved with a 99-year-old, three-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. The property is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal which estimated the subject's market value as of January 1, 2024, of \$900,000. The appraiser utilized the income and sales comparison approaches to value to estimate the subject's market value. The appraisal disclosed the subject was inspected on February 28, 2025 and the petition disclosed that the subject was not an owner-occupied residence.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed five comparables in arriving at the estimate of value. The sales properties are described as three-story, six-unit, masonry, multi-family dwellings. They sold from June 2021 to October 2023 for prices ranging from \$124,167 to \$154,167 per unit. After making adjustments for pertinent factors, the appraiser opined a value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$150,000 per unit or \$900,000, rounded.

Under the income approach, the appraiser analyzed five multi-family comparables to arrive at a rental rate of \$1,700 per unit and \$6,600 for other income for a potential gross income of \$129,000. The appraiser applied a vacancy and collection rate of 5% to arrive at an effective gross income of \$122,550. Expenses were estimated at \$31,242 to arrive at a net operating income of \$91,308.

In determining a capitalization rate (cap rate), the appraiser utilized the band of investment method and reviewed surveys to estimate a cap rate of 8% and loaded this rate to 10.11% to account for the taxes to arrive at a value for the subject under the income approach of \$905,000, rounded.

In reconciling the approaches, the appraiser gave greatest consideration to the sales comparison approach with supported by the income approach to estimate a value for the subject as of January 1, 2024 of \$900,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's assessment of \$139,807 which reflects a market value of \$1,398,070 using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of the assessment, the board of review submitted four sales comparables. These comparables are described as three-story, masonry, multi-family dwellings containing from 6,315 to 7,605 square feet of building area. They sold from April 2022 to October 2023 for prices ranging of \$124.12 to \$190.66 per square foot of building area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The appellant's appraiser utilized the income and sales comparison approaches to value in determining the subject's market value. The current assessment reflects a market value above this value. The Board finds this appraisal to be persuasive because the appraisal discloses that the appraiser inspected the subject, reviewed the property's history, and used similar properties in the sales comparison approach. Therefore, the Board finds the subject property's market value of \$900,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the Cook

Docket No: 24-42958.001-R-1

County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Janula Bumbaris, by attorney:
Max E. Callahan
Siegel & Callahan, P.C.
141 W. Jackson
Suite 1795
Chicago, IL 60604

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602