



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: George Zaccagnini
DOCKET NO.: 24-42668.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-32-102-056-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are George Zaccagnini, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,396
IMPR.: \$47,047
TOTAL: \$63,443

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

A 2,346 square feet, two-story structure of frame-and-brick construction on a 5,289 square feet parcel in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County comprises the subject property. The 71-year-old, class 2-06 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured two bathrooms, air conditioning, a detached garage, and a full basement.

The appellant pleads assessment inequity and requests the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) reduce the assessment to \$18.01 per improvement square foot instead. To show the subject assessment is inequitably high, the appellant put forth three class 2-06 properties within .3 miles of the subject as comparators for assessment equity. These suggested comparables included 1.5 to two bathrooms, air conditioning, and a full basement or slab foundation. The appellant's selections spanned 70 to 74 years in building age; 2,207 to 2,410 square feet in improvement area; and \$16.95 to \$18.85 per square foot in improvement assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$47,048, or \$20.05 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.”¹ In defense of the \$63,443 total subject assessment, the county board of review proposed three frame-and-masonry improvements in the subject’s subarea as evidence of equitable assessment. The county board of review’s preferred comparators included air conditioning, 2.5 to three bathrooms, and a one- or 1.5-car garage. These nearby properties were 66 to 80 years in building age; 2,223 to 2,560 in improvement area; and \$20.52 to \$22.82 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, appellants must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not meet this rigorous and stringent burden of proof.

Of the properties in evidence, board of review comparable #3 and appellant comparables #1 and #3 compared most favorably to the subject improvement and therefore constitute the best evidence of assessment equity. Board of review comparable #3 was nearly identical to the subject save for minimal differences in the living square footage and the comparator’s inclusion of an extra half bathroom. Similarly, appellant comparable #3 mirrored the subject improvement’s area, and lacked the subject’s full basement but had a larger garage than the subject. By contrast, appellant comparable #1 featured more living and garage space than the subject improvement, which mitigated its inferior basement and bathroom utility relative to the subject. Given these comparators, the subject would be equitably assessed between \$16.95 and \$20.52 per living square foot. Because the subject’s \$20.14 per improvement square foot assessment falls within this range, PTAB concludes the appellant did not provide sufficiently clear and convincing evidence that the subject assessment was inequitable or that a reduction thereof is justified.

¹ PTAB observes that in its “Notes on Appeal,” the county board of review referenced the 2025 decision from which the appellant petitions. PTAB accordingly adopts the total assessment value reflected in that decision, minor discrepancies in the “Notes on Appeal” notwithstanding.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

George Zaccagnini
7124 N. Merrimac Ave.
Chicago, IL 60646

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602