



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Charles Barsano
DOCKET NO.: 24-42012.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-13-208-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Charles Barsano, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,968
IMPR.: \$58,973
TOTAL: \$78,941

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,596 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 102 years old.¹ Features of the home include a basement and a 1-car garage. The property has an approximately 5,625 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted five comparables that are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03,

¹ The parties differ regarding some of the property characteristics of the subject dwelling, and neither party provided additional documentary evidence regarding the subject's property description. The Board finds the subject is approximately 102 years old as disclosed by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

1-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,518 to 1,646 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 101 to 118 years old. Each comparable has a basement, and three comparables have either a 1-car or 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$34,031 to \$41,090 or from \$21.32 to \$24.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$38,160 or \$23.91 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$78,941. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$58,973 or \$36.95 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03, 1.5-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,308 to 1,800 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 101 to 125 years old. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning and either a 2-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$50,411 to \$89,830 or from \$38.54 to \$49.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables due to differences in their design and/or lack of a garage amenity when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the other comparables in the record. Moreover, the board of review's comparable #2 appears to be an outlier with its higher improvement assessment relative to the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #3 and #4. The Board finds that these comparables are overall most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and some features. However, these two comparables have central air conditioning, a feature the subject lacks, suggesting downward adjustments would be required to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject property. Nevertheless, these two comparables have improvement assessments of \$67,698 and \$68,705 or \$38.64 and \$48.08 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$58,973 or \$36.95 per square foot of living area falls below the improvement assessments of the two best

comparables in this record both in terms of an overall and on a per square foot of living area bases. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Charles Barsano, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602