



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Douglas Morris
DOCKET NO.: 24-36745.001-R-1 through 24-36745.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Douglas Morris, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
24-36745.001-R-1	04-08-416-009-0000	21,368	33,633	\$55,001
24-36745.002-R-1	04-08-416-010-0000	5,313	0	\$5,313

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The property consists of two parcels, one of which is improved with a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,800 square feet of living area. The home was built in 1965 and is approximately 59 years old. Features include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 4-car garage. The property has a combined 14,245 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from 0.9 of a mile to 1.4 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 multi-level

dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,874 to 1,942 square feet of living area. The homes are 51 to 60 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,765 to \$31,888 or from \$16.03 to \$16.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$29,340.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" for one parcel under appeal. The appellant submitted the board of review final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject's two parcels of \$60,314. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,633 or \$18.69 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood at ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,753 to 1,925 square feet of living area. The homes are each 61 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$33,165 to \$36,267 or from \$18.78 to \$20.27 per square foot of living area. The board of review asserts the assessed values per square foot for the submitted comparables support the subject's assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the parties' comparables to be similar to the subject in design/class, age, dwelling size, and other features. Nevertheless, the Board gives diminished weight to the appellant's comparables which are located 0.9 of a mile and greater from the subject, less proximate than the comparables submitted by the board of review. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$33,165 to \$36,267 or from \$18.78 to \$20.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$33,633 or \$18.69 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall basis and below the range on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Douglas Morris, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602