



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ghassan Abdallah  
DOCKET NO.: 24-36374.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 27-17-314-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ghassan Abdallah, the appellant(s), by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$9,750  
**IMPR.:** \$64,117  
**TOTAL:** \$73,867

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 4,428 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built approximately 21 years ago. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, four full bathrooms and a three-car garage. The property is in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. The appellant comparables are all two-story, constructed of frame and masonry or masonry materials. They range in age from twelve to twenty-two years older than the subject property. They all have full basements, at least one fireplace and central air conditioning. In addition to those features, four

board comparables have three full bathrooms and three car garages. The fifthth appellant comparable has a three-car garage and two full bathrooms. The appellant comparables range in size from 4,293 to 4,805 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$30,330 to \$37,839 or \$7.07 to \$8.39 per square foot of living area. The record is silent as to the five appellant comparables distance to the subject property. On this evidence the appellant is asking for a reduction in total assessment to \$43,845.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$73,867. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$64,117 or \$14.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. Each of the board of review comparables are two-story constructed of frame and masonry or masonry material. They are all within five years of age of the subject property. Each board comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, at least one fireplace, four full bathrooms and a three-car garage. The board comparables range in size from 4,336 to 4,469 in square feet of living area; and in improved assessment from \$63,393 to \$68,345 or \$14.62 to \$15.25 per square foot of living area. The board of review is asking for no change in total assessment. Two of the board comparables are listed as a quarter mile from the subject property, two are listed in the same subarea. The board is asking for no change in assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *board of review comparables one through four*. These comparables are close in proximity, age, features and size to the subject property. The board comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.62 to \$15.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$14.48 per square foot of living area falls below within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ghassan Abdallah, by attorney:  
Dora Cornelio  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602