**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION**
**ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ravi and Terri Soni
DOCKET NO.: 24-34816.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-19-313-045-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ravi and Terri Soni, the appellants by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| | |
|---|---|
| **LAND:** | $72,075 |
| **IMPR.:** | $160,925 |
| **TOTAL:** | $233,000 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,306 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old. The home features a full basement with finished area, 3 full and 1 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, 2 fireplaces, and a 4-car garage. The property has a 4,650 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables, including property characteristics printouts that were used to verify or correct the data in the grid analysis. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code and classification code as the subject. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of masonry

exterior construction ranging in size from 4,053 to 4,271 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 11 to 18 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, 3 or 4 full bathrooms, 1 or 2 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, 1 to 3 fireplaces, and either a 2-car, a 2.5-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $126,730 to $150,120 or from $29.67 to $36.57 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to $141,926 or $32.96 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $233,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $160,925 or $37.37 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code and classification code as the subject. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,944 to 4,533 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 10 to 20 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, with three having finished area, 3 full bathrooms, 1 or 2 half bathrooms, central air conditioning, 1 to 3 fireplaces, and either a 2.5-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from $137,761 to $179,352 or from $33.73 to $41.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparable #1 which lacks a basement finish, unlike the subject that has a basement finish.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellants' comparables and the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, basement finish and some features. These seven comparables have improvement assessments that range from $126,730 to $179,352 or from $29.67 to $41.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $160,925 or $37.37 per square foot of living falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

RAVI AND TERRI SONI, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
200 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 820
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602