FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Atelier Condominium Association
DOCKET NO.: 24-34571.001-R-2 through 24-34571.010-R-2
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Atelier Condominium Association, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
24-34571.001-R-2	14-21-101-033-1001	6,833	31,074	$37,907
24-34571.002-R-2	14-21-101-033-1002	6,833	31,074	$37,907
24-34571.003-R-2	14-21-101-033-1003	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.004-R-2	14-21-101-033-1004	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.005-R-2	14-21-101-033-1005	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.006-R-2	14-21-101-033-1006	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.007-R-2	14-21-101-033-1007	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.008-R-2	14-21-101-033-1008	7,104	32,308	$39,412
24-34571.009-R-2	14-21-101-033-1009	6,759	30,738	$37,497
24-34571.010-R-2	14-21-101-033-1010	6,759	30,738	$37,497

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a ten-unit residential condominium. The dwelling is 10 years old. The property has a 7,800 square foot located in Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on comparable sales. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence of five recent sales of suggested comparable properties. The appellant stated that each of the comparable properties contain two-bedroom units. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $387,280. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $3,872,800, land included, when using the 2024 level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of this argument the board submitted a condominium sales analysis based on one recent sale in the subject building. Subject unit -1005 sold on May 8, 2023, for a price of $400,000. The board of review divided this sale price by its percentage of ownership of 10.1766% resulting in a full market value for the subject was $3,930,585.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the appellant’s comparable properties are too varied from the subject property to be helpful in providing a rage within which the subject’s assessment should fall. For example, the appellant’s evidence indicates a wide range of monthly assessments: ranging from $250 to $497 per month. In addition, the appellant’s comparables vary in exterior parking availability, elevators, and mix of rental and owner-occupied units. All of these factors have an impact on the sale prices of the comparables. As such, the Board finds the comparables are too dissimilar to the subject for the Board to determine a range within which the subject’s market value should fall. Therefore, based on the evidence in the record, the Board finds the appellant has not met the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is over assessed. A reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Atelier Condominium Association, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602