



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 1630 Damen LLC
DOCKET NO.: 24-31289.001-R-1 through 24-31289.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 1630 Damen LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Daria Palermo, of Flanagan/Bilton LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
24-31289.001-R-1	14-31-332-045-0000	16,704	44,046	\$60,750
24-31289.002-R-1	14-31-332-046-0000	16,704	44,046	\$60,750

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a parcel containing two Property Index Numbers (PINs) which represent two, attached, three-story, mixed-use buildings, including multi-dwelling units, of masonry construction with a total of 8,266 square feet of building area. The building was approximately 132 years old. Features of the building include a full basement. The property has a 3,840 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The appellant disclosed that this is not an owner-occupied residence.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,215,000 as of January 1, 2024. The appraiser was prepared by a certified general real estate appraiser who asserted that the highest and best use of the property as improved was its present

use. The appraiser inspected the property on March 10, 2025, and used the income capitalization and sales comparison approaches to valuation.

Under the income capitalization approach, the appraiser analyzed sixteen comparable rental units located within an undisclosed proximity to the subject. Considering the data from the comparable rental properties, the appraiser estimated an average total rent for the subject property of \$17,438 per month. The appraiser estimated an annual total potential gross income of \$209,256. The appraiser stabilized the vacancy rate at 7.0% for an annual effective gross income (EGI) of \$194,608. Expenses were deducted to arrive at a net operating income (NOI) of \$118,451. The appraiser calculated the overall capitalization rate of 9.72%. Dividing the NOI of \$118,451 by the capitalization rate of 9.72%, the appraiser arrived at a value under the income approach of \$1,220,000, rounded.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser utilized six comparable sales properties located within an undisclosed proximity to the subject property. The comparable properties sites ranged in size from 3,000 to 9,170 square feet of land area and from 5,627 to 9,876 square feet of building area. The properties are each improved with a multi-unit mixed-use building of masonry construction that were from 37 to 132 years old, including one that was 120 years old and underwent renovation 20 years ago. The comparable properties sold from March 2023 to January 2025 for prices ranging from \$725,000 to \$1,162,500. The appraiser adjusted, as applicable, for size, location, and parking. The appraiser concluded that based on the sales data and applying adjustments to the comparable sales for differences from the subject, the subject had a market value under the sales comparison approach of \$1,215,000.

In reconciling the two approaches to value, the appraiser gave substantial weight to both the income capitalization and sales comparison approaches to value. Therefore, the appraiser arrived at the final opinion of value for the subject property of \$1,215,000 as of January 1, 2024.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for PIN 332-045, one of the two PINs of the subject of \$77,352. The record includes the Cook County board of review 2024 appeal decision which indicates a total assessment for both PIN's of \$154,704. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,547,040 or \$187.16 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessments for class two property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on one comparable sales property which sold in April 2024 for \$950,000 or for \$239.36 per square foot of living area, land included in the sales price. This property was located within the same subarea as the subject. The improvement was 127 years old and was 3,969 in square feet of building area. The board of review also submitted three equity comparable properties. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted written rebuttal asserting that the equity comparable evidence submitted by the board of review did not address the market value argument put forth by the appellant and should be stricken or given no weight. The appellant further asserted that the sales comparable property submitted by the board of review was not sufficient evidence to overcome the evidence

offered by the appellant. The appellant contends that the properties offered by the board of review were of very different size than the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the appellant submitted a credible appraisal report with reasonable and logical adjustments for differences from the subject which was not challenged by the board of review. The one comparable sales property presented by the board of review lacked adjustments for significant differences when compared to the subject property. The equity comparable properties offered by the board of review are given no weight by the Board as they do not address the market value argument presented by the appellant. The subject's current assessment reflects a market value of \$1,547,040, which is higher than the appraised value of \$1,215,000. Based on the evidence presented, the Board finds the subject property is overvalued and a reduction commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted. Since market value has been established the level of assessment for class two property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

1630 Damen LLC, by attorney:
Daria Palermo
Flanagan/Bilton LLC
1 N. LaSalle St
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602