



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Diamond Properties
DOCKET NO.: 24-30880.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-312-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Diamond Properties, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$26,100
IMPR.: \$73,900
TOTAL: \$100,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of an approximately 131-year-old dwelling of masonry construction with 4,466 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full basement, and 20 total rooms. The property has a 3,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$900,000 as of January 1, 2024. The appraiser relied on a sales comparison approach and identified three comparable properties. These properties are located 1.09 to 1.80 miles away from the subject property. The properties sold between April 2022 and December 2023 for sale prices between \$819,000 and \$1,195,000. The properties have between 3,600 and 5,043 square feet of living

area and have unit sale prices per square foot between \$227.50 and \$236.96. In the appraisal, the appraiser vaguely stated adjustments were applied to the three sales, potentially for the sales having a superior location. The appellant is requesting a total assessment of \$90,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$110,000. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,100,000. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. The board of review did not state the distance of the comparables to the subject and stated that only comparables #1 and #2 are located in the same general neighborhood as the subject. The unadjusted sales occurred from November 2022 to December 2023 for sales prices between \$907,500 and 1,740,000. The sales price per square foot are between \$250.83 and \$385.89. The board of review is requesting that the current assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds that the evidence submitted by both parties is flawed, but the appraisal is slightly better evidence of value than what was submitted by the board of review. The board of review's comparables are limited in that they failed to identify the distance of the comparables to the subject while acknowledging that half of the comparables are in a completely different neighborhood. The appraisal is also limited, however, it is better than the evidence submitted than the board of review. The appraisal states that downward adjustments were made based on location but failed to specify the degree of those limitations or provide a noteworthy justification. Nonetheless, the appraisal is still better than the unadjusted sales provided by the board of review, but the limitations prevent a full adoption of its findings by the Board.

Accordingly, the Board finds the subject property had a fair market value of \$1,000,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Because the assessment reflects a greater fair market value, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Diamond Properties, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602