



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Angie Yarusso
DOCKET NO.: 24-28845.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-36-428-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Angie Yarusso, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,800
IMPR.: \$92,200
TOTAL: \$109,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2,638 square feet, two-story structure of masonry construction on a 3,000 square feet parcel in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The 13-year-old, class 2-78 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured at least three bathrooms, a two-car garage, no air conditioning, and a full basement.¹

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the assessment should be reduced to \$28.53 per improvement square foot. To show that the subject assessment is not uniform, the appellant proposed five class 2-78 properties of unknown proximity to the subject as equity comparables. These suggested comparators had a full basement, no garage or a two-car

¹ The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) observes inconsistencies between the appellant's description of the subject and the board of review's description. After holistically considering all evidence in the record, PTAB concludes the inconsistencies are immaterial to the outcome.

garage, and air conditioning. The appellant's chosen properties were between 10 and 60 years in building age; 2,400 and 2,796 square feet in living area; and \$28.23 and \$28.96 per improvement square foot in assessment.

The board of review responded that the subject improvement was fairly assessed at \$92,200, or \$34.95 per living square foot in its "Notes on Appeal." In defense of the \$109,000 total subject assessment, the county board of review offered information about four masonry buildings within the subject's subarea as assessment benchmarks. The board of review's preferred comparables all featured a full basement, a two-car garage, air conditioning, and a full basement. These improvements were 11 to 18 years old; 2,507 to 2,712 square feet in area; and \$36.03 to \$43.36 per living square foot in assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation, however; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority's assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, appellants must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant overcame this burden of proof.

By virtue of their relative similarity to the subject improvement's size, board of review comparables #1, #2, and #4 best represented the subject and therefore constitute the best evidence of assessment equity. Board of review comparables #1 and #2 both lacked some of the subject's living area but had newer buildings and otherwise matched the subject's amenities. Meanwhile, board of review comparable #4 boasted a larger and newer improvement and also mirrored the subject's attributes, placing it at the top of the \$36.03 to \$43.36 per improvement square foot equitable assessment range. Because the subject's \$34.95 per living square foot assessment is lower than those of the best comparators, PTAB finds the appellant did not prove assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction in the subject assessment is not merited.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Angie Yarusso, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602