



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tao Duan  
DOCKET NO.: 24-27513.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-36-410-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tao Duan, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$17,640  
**IMPR.:** \$55,494  
**TOTAL:** \$73,134

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3,150 square foot parcel containing two improvements. Improvement #1 consists of a 2-story apartment building of frame exterior construction with 2,162 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 137 years old and features a full basement. Improvement #1 is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Improvement #2 consists of a 1-story class 2-02 dwelling of frame exterior construction with 567 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 137 years old and features a partial basement. The property is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from .3 of a mile to 1.4

miles from the subject. The comparables consist of four class 2-11 buildings and three class 2-02 dwellings. The class 2-11 comparables have frame or masonry exterior construction and range in size from 2,324 to 2,352 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 40 to 146 years old. Each comparable has central air conditioning. Two buildings have full basements, two comparables have concrete slab foundations, and one comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$14,711 to \$30,966 or from \$6.33 to \$13.19 per square foot of gross building area. The class 2-02 dwellings have frame or frame and masonry exterior construction and range in size from 836 to 966 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 132 to 146 years old. Each comparable has a full basement and two comparables each have a 1-car or 2-car garage. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$33,976 or \$12.45 per square foot of combined building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$73,134. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$55,494 or \$20.33 per square foot of combined building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .25 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of 2-story class 2-11 buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,050 to 2,420 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are 130 to 135 years old. Three buildings have central air conditioning and two comparables each have a 2-car garage. One comparable has a concrete slab foundation and three buildings each have a full basement. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,691 to \$72,000 or from \$19.23 to \$29.75 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of 11 equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #5, #6, and #7, as well as board of review comparable #1, which differ from the subject in age, location, foundation, and/or improvement size. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in age, location, building size, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$28,000 to \$48,200 or from \$11.90 to \$23.51 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$55,494 or \$20.33 per square foot of combined building area is above the range established by the best comparables in this record overall and within the range on a per-square-

foot basis. The Board finds the subject's higher assessment logical when considering the subject's additional class 2-02 dwelling. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tao jDuan, by attorney:  
Brian S. Maher  
Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher  
1 North LaSalle Street  
Suite 1500  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602