



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Arjun Enterprises LLC
DOCKET NO.: 24-27421.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-13-304-042-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arjun Enterprises LLC, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,536
IMPR.: \$40,530
TOTAL: \$46,066

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 4,889 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 121 years old. Features include a full basement with an apartment and 7 bathrooms. The property has a 3,818 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.60 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11, 3-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,080 to 5,295 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are 114 to 130 years

old. Three comparables have basements, of which one has an apartment, and either 4, 5½ or 6 bathrooms. Comparable #1 has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,128 to \$40,469 or from \$7.64 to \$9.92 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,530 or \$8.29 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$81,600. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$76,064 or \$15.56 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within a different assessment neighborhood than the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11, 2-story or 3-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,966 to 5,400 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are from 102 to 129 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, three of which have an apartment or a recreation room, and from 3 to 5 bathrooms. Three comparables have either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Comparable #1 has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$61,723 to \$91,994 or from \$15.56 to \$19.59 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 which has a garage amenity, unlike the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparables which are located in a different assessment neighborhood than the subject, and three of the comparables present central air conditioning and/or a garage amenity, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #3 #4 which are located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. These comparables are also relatively similar to the subject in age and building size with varying degrees of similarity to the subject in other features. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,128 to \$40,469 or from \$7.73 to \$9.92 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$76,064 or \$15.56 per square foot of gross building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record and appears to be excessive. After considering adjustments to the best comparables in the record, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably

assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment, commensurate with the appellant's request, is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Arjun Enterprises LLC, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602