



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: PMI Properties
DOCKET NO.: 24-26268.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-20-416-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are PMI Properties, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies of Ryan Law, PLLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,963
IMPR.: \$25,420
TOTAL: \$44,383

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story mixed-use building of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,542 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 139 years old. Features of the property include a partial basement, three full bathrooms, one half bathroom and a two-car garage. The property has a site with 5,125 square feet of land area and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .28 of a mile to 2.01 miles from the subject. The comparables are class 2-12 properties improved with one-story, two-story or three-story mixed-use buildings of frame or masonry

exterior construction ranging in size from 2,584 to 3,388 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are 113 to 148 years old. One comparable has a concrete slab foundation and four comparables each have a partial basement. Each comparable has either one, three or four full bathrooms and one comparable has an additional half bathroom. Comparable #1 has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$23,195 to \$31,530 or from \$8.48 to \$9.39 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the final assessment for the subject of \$53,001. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$34,038 or \$13.39 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject, one of which is located within the same block as the subject and two are located approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of a mile from the subject. Comparable #2 is a class 2-12 property improved with a two-story mixed-use building of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 1,800 square feet of gross building area. The building is 134 years old and has a partial basement, three full bathrooms and one additional half bathroom. Comparables #1, #3 and #4 are class 2-11 multi-family buildings ranging in size from 2,376 to 2,654 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are 137 or 157 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, two of which are finished with an apartment. Each comparable has two or three full bathrooms. Comparable #1 has central air conditioning. The four comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$27,803 to \$39,900 or from \$13.81 to \$15.83 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #3, #4 and #5 due to differences from the subject in story height and/or building size. The Board has given reduced weight to the four comparables submitted by the board of review due to their dissimilar multi-family building classifications when compared to the subject's mixed-use building classification or the building is substantially smaller in size, when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, which have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are class 2-12 two-story mixed-use buildings, like the subject. However, the Board finds the appellant's

comparable #1 is 21% larger than the subject building and the appellant's comparable #2 is 26 years newer than the subject, suggesting downward adjustments for these differences would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. Conversely, each building has a fewer number of bathrooms, when compared to the subject and the appellant's #2 lacks a garage, a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments would be necessary for these differences. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments of \$23,195 and \$26,195 or \$8.48 and \$8.98 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34,038 or \$13.39 per square foot of gross building area falls above the two best comparables in the record. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is excessive. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

PMI Properties, by attorney:
Michael R. Davies
Ryan Law, PLLC
227 West Monroe Street
Suite 4200
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602