



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Glenn Compton  
DOCKET NO.: 24-25647.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-06-404-022-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Glenn Compton, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,115  
**IMPR.:** \$61,489  
**TOTAL:** \$72,604

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 2,589 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 98 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has an 8,550 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two or more-story dwellings of stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,760 to 2,876 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 100 to 131 years old. Each

comparable has a basement, central air conditioning and a two-car or a three-car garage. Comparable #2 has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$58,315 to \$62,400 or from \$20.28 to \$22.54 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$55,974 or \$21.62 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$72,604. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$61,489 or \$23.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located approximately  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,504 to 2,540 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 102 or 111 years old. The comparables each have a basement, one of which has finished area. Comparable #3 has central air conditioning. Each comparable has a fireplace and a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$62,230 to \$66,810 or from \$24.50 to \$26.68 per square foot of living area. The board of review contended that the building assessed values of the comparables are higher than the subject, which supports the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight equity comparables submitted by the parties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to their older dwelling ages when compared to the subject and/or their distant location from the subject being more than 2 miles. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to their lack of central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparable #3, which have the same property classification as the subject and are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and some features. However, the appellant's comparable #4 was not reported to have basement finish and a fireplace, both features of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make it more equivalent to the subject. Conversely the appellant's comparable #4 has a larger garage capacity, suggesting a downward adjustment for this difference would be necessary. Nevertheless, the two comparables have improvement assessments of \$62,400 and \$62,611 or

\$22.54 and \$24.65 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$61,489 or \$23.75 per square foot of living area falls below the two best comparables in this record in terms of total improvement assessment but is bracketed by the comparables on a per square foot of living area basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Glenn Compton, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602