



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Karen Gianfrancisco
DOCKET NO.: 24-25534.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-07-102-027-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Karen Gianfrancisco, the appellant, by attorney Harold J. Hicks of Holland Hicks Law, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$15,561
IMPR.: \$75,716
TOTAL: \$91,277

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,656 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 141 years old. The dwelling features a full basement,¹ central air conditioning, three full bathrooms, one half bathroom, a fireplace and a two-car garage with 400 square feet of building area. The property has an 11,115 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located

¹ The parties differ as to the basement finish of the subject dwelling. The appellant reported the subject has 1,828 square feet of basement finish, while the board of review reported the subject has an unfinished basement.

within .2 of a mile from the subject property, four of which are also along the same street as the subject. The comparables are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry, frame and masonry, or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,053 to 3,510 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 121 to 136 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area and from one to three full bathrooms. Three comparables each have one or two additional half bathrooms, two comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables each have one or two fireplaces and four comparables each have a garage containing 240 or 800 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$54,350 to \$70,902 or from \$17.80 to \$20.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$71,694 or \$19.61 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$91,277. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$75,716 or \$20.71 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within the same block, approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property, or within the subject's subarea. The comparables are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,842 to 3,356 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 122 to 127 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, one of which has finished area and three comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has from one to four full bathrooms and from a two-car to a four-car garage. Two comparable each have one or two additional half bathrooms and three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$70,027 to \$81,018 or from \$23.37 to \$24.64 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4, as well as board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 which differ from the subject in dwelling size and/or lack central air conditioning, a feature of the subject. Additionally, the appellant's comparable #1 lacks a garage, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #5, along with board of review comparable #1, which have central air conditioning, like the subject and are similar to the subject in location and design. However, these three comparables are inferior to the subject dwelling in size and have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in age and other features, suggesting adjustments would be necessary to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$69,621 to \$81,018 or from \$20.06 to \$24.14 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$75,716 or \$20.71 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per square foot of living area basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Karen Gianfrancisco, by attorney:
Harold J. Hicks
Holland Hicks Law, LLC
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 3906
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602