FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Alexanders Pearsall
DOCKET NO.: 24-24756.001-R-2
PARCEL NO.: 14-31-311-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alexanders Pearsall, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***No Change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$53,070
IMPR.:	$155,930
TOTAL:	$209,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,731 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 116 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning and a 1-car garage. The property has an approximately 6,100 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in different assessment neighborhood codes than the subject property. The Property Index Numbers (PINs) of the appellant's comparables begin with 16 or 17, while the subject PIN begins with 14, indicating the comparables are located in a different part of the

township than the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story class 2-09 dwellings of masonry or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,319 to 6,597 square feet of living area. The homes range from 74 to 148 years old. Each comparable has a basement. Three dwellings have central air conditioning, three homes have from one to three fireplaces and three comparables have a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $29,821 to $60,489 or from $5.61 to $9.74 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to $47,722 or $7.09 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $209,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $155,930 or $23.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, three of which are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. Each comparable has a PIN beginning with 14-31, like the subject, indicating the comparables are located in the same part of the township and subarea of the township as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story class 2-09 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,252 to 5,428 square feet of living area. The homes are from 10 to 127 years old. Each comparable has a basement and central air conditioning. One dwelling has two fireplaces and three homes have from a 2-car to a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $170,548 to $192,240 or from $32.09 to $36.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives reduced weight to the appellant's comparables which are located less proximate to the subject than other properties in the record. The Board gives little weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are substantially newer in age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #3 and #4 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, classification and some features. However, these two comparables present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in dwelling size and garage amenity suggesting adjustments are needed to make these properties more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of $170,548 and $189,314 or $32.09 and $36.05 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $155,930 or $23.17 per square foot of living area falls below the two the best

comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 17, 2026

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Alexanders Pearsall, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602