



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Craig and Talia Lissner
DOCKET NO.: 24-24278.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-01-408-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Craig and Talia Lissner, the appellant, by Kyle Gordon Kamego, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$35,910
IMPR.: \$124,090
TOTAL: \$160,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a site with 19,950 square feet of land area improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction that contains 4,742 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 28 years old. Features of the property include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, 4½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property is in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-08 properties improved with dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 4,208 to 4,938 square feet of living area and are 19 to 58 years old. Each property has a full basement, central air conditioning, one

to three fireplaces, and a 2-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have two or three full bathrooms and three comparables have an additional one or two half bathrooms. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .2 to .5 of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$93,260 to \$115,041 or from \$22.16 to \$24.07 per square foot of living area. The appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$109,825.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$160,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$124,090 or \$26.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-08 properties improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,860 to 4,803 square feet of living area. The homes are 22 to 27 years old. Each property has a full basement with three having formal recreation rooms, central air conditioning, two or five fireplaces, three to five full bathrooms, one or two half bathrooms, and a 2-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located ¼ of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$121,119 to \$149,300 or from \$31.03 to \$33.95 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight equity comparables with the same neighborhood code and classification code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellants' comparable #4 due to differences from the subject in age and dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size being approximately 19% and 18% smaller than the subject home, respectively. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants' comparables #1, #2, and #3 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #2 that range in size from 4,398 to 4,938 square feet of living area and are 19 to 40 years old. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$102,610 to \$149,300 or from \$23.10 to \$33.95 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$124,090 or \$26.17 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

July 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Craig and Talia Lissner, by attorney:
Kyle Gordon Kamego
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602