



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Andrew Nast
DOCKET NO.: 24-23523.001-R-1 through 24-23523.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrew Nast, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
24-23523.001-R-1	09-26-425-050-0000	9,864	47,769	\$57,633
24-23523.002-R-1	09-26-425-051-0000	3,875	907	\$4,782

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property contains two PINS. The subject property consists of an approximately 118-year-old, two-story, three-family dwelling of masonry construction with 3,819 square feet of living area. The property has a 5,480 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. Features of the home include a basement. The subject is classified as a Class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The record reflects that the subject property is not owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the subject improvement as the basis of the appeal. The appellant is only seeking a reduction for PIN 09-26-425-050-0000. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The suggested comparable properties ranged in size from 3,275 to 4,224 square feet of living area. Each of the suggested properties had a basement. Three of the suggested comparables were listed as having central air conditioning. Two of the comparable properties had garages of varying size and three did not have a garage. The appellant did not provide the distance of the comparable properties to that of the subject property, but did indicate that none of the comparable properties had the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables ranged in age from 51 to 122 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6.44 to \$8.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$45,542.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$57,633. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$47,769 or \$12.51 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables. The suggested comparable properties ranged in size from 2,420 to 3,005 square feet of living area. Each suggested property had a basement. One of the suggested comparable properties had central air conditioning. One of the comparable properties had a garage. The board of review reported that two of the suggested comparables were located within a block of the subject property. None of the comparables had the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables ranged in age from 66 to 136 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.01 to \$16.90 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer asserts assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes, "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const. art. IX, §4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by the appellant by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence to be the board of review's comparable #1. Like the subject property, this comparable is a class 2-12, single-family dwelling. This comparable property has a similarly sized living area, similar age, similar features and was located within a block of the subject property. In comparison, the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4 were given less weight based on having distinguishable characteristics from the subject property, specifically being unknown distances from the subject property and not having the same neighborhood code as the subject property.

The subject's improvement assessment of \$12.51 falls below the best evidence comparable improvement assessment of \$13.01 and is further supported by the appellant's inability to provide comparables that show the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics from the assessment. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant failed to

meet their burden of proof by not providing clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Andrew Nast, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602