

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ricardo Cantu DOCKET NO.: 24-04553.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-01-210-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ricardo Cantu, the appellant, by attorney Brianna L. Golan, of Golan Christie Taglia LLP in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$99,305 **IMPR.:** \$113,316 **TOTAL:** \$212,621

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,349 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1952. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 440 square foot garage. The property has an 8,049 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from .1 of a mile to 1.8 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame or brick

¹ The Board finds the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review to be the best evidence of the subject's features.

exterior construction ranging in size from 1,176 to 2,061 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1954 to 1956. Each dwelling has a basement and a garage ranging in size from 308 to 528 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning and two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$98,555 to \$131,178 or from \$63.65 to \$83.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$99,232 or \$73.56 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$217,114. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$117,809 or \$87.33 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from .11 of a mile to 1.27 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,175 to 1,842 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1954 to 1957. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a basement with two having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 540 square feet of building area. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$95,476 to \$138,227 or from \$75.04 to \$81.26 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds based on the evidence in the record a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3, which differ from the subject in age dwelling size and/or location. Additionally, appellant comparable #1 lacks central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #2, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$98,555 and \$108,432 or \$77.78 and \$83.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$117,809 or \$87.33 per square foot of living area is above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with

clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	Middle 1/5
	Clark of the Property Toy Amned Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ricardo Cantu, by attorney: Brianna L. Golan Golan Christie Taglia LLP 70 West Madison Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187