



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Chuck Farinella
DOCKET NO.: 24-04407.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-35-410-048

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chuck Farinella, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC in Winfield; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$52,944
IMPR.: \$115,257
TOTAL: \$168,201

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,964 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1986 and is approximately 38 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 692 square foot garage.¹ The property has a 10,102 square foot site and is located in Woodridge, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located within 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes ranging in size from 2,608 to 3,040 square feet of living area. The dwellings range

¹ The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's features is found in its property record card presented by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

in age from 34 to 45 years old. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 575 square feet of building area.² Four homes each have a basement and five homes have from one to three fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$88,587 to \$111,970 or from \$33.71 to \$37.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$105,488.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$168,201. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$115,257 or \$38.89 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located within 0.31 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with part 1-story and part 2-story or 2-story homes ranging in size from 2,674 to 2,928 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1981 to 1986. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 506 square feet of building area. Five homes have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$107,006 to \$119,957 or from \$40.02 to \$42.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of twelve equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #4 and #6 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #6, due to substantial differences from the subject in foundation type, central air conditioning amenity, and/or basement finish. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparable #1, which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #5 and the board of review's comparables #2, #4, and #5, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$107,039 to \$119,957 or from \$35.54 to \$40.97 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$115,257 or \$38.89 per square foot of living area falls

² The Board finds the best evidence of these comparables' features is found in their property record cards presented by the board of review, which were not refuted by the appellant.

within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 23, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Chuck Farinella, by attorney:
David Kieta
Kieta Law LLC
0S331 Summit Drive
Winfield, IL 60190

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187