

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kevin Sanaghan DOCKET NO.: 24-04406.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-01-216-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Sanaghan, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC in Winfield; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$90,643 **IMPR.:** \$82,208 **TOTAL:** \$172,851

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of frame and brick/stone exterior construction with 1,711 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1955 and is approximately 69 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage with 440 square feet of building area. The property has a 7,719 square foot site and is located in Elmhurst, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located within 0.21 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's features is found in its property record card presented by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

split-level homes ranging in size from 1,630 to 2,134 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 68 or 69 years old. Each home has a basement, four of which have finished area, and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. Four homes have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$76,401 to \$97,012 or from \$41.91 to \$46.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$77,745.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$172,851. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$82,208 or \$48.05 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within 0.23 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with split-level homes ranging in size from 1,507 to 1,734 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1954 or 1955. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 360 to 528 square feet of building area. Four homes have central air conditioning and two homes have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$72,584 to \$84,100 or from \$46.71 to \$48.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eleven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #6 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, which lack finished basement area and/or central air conditioning that are features of the subject. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #4, which is a substantially larger home than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #5 and the board of review's comparables #3, #4, and #5, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$79,894 to \$84,100 or from \$46.12 to \$48.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$82,208 or \$48.05 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 214
	Clade Sale Decrete Ter Averal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Kevin Sanaghan, by attorney: David Kieta Kieta Law LLC 0S331 Summit Drive Winfield, IL 60190

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187