



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Hogervorst  
DOCKET NO.: 24-04331.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 09-14-200-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Hogervorst, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC in Winfield; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$123,280  
**IMPR.:** \$293,478  
**TOTAL:** \$416,758

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 4,292 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004 and is 20 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 676 square foot garage. The property has a 10,972 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .47 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,804 to 4,586 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 11 to 25 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement, and a garage

ranging in size from 696 to 859 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$237,880 to \$290,247 or from \$60.88 to \$65.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$270,696 or \$63.07 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$416,758. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$293,478 or \$68.38 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .52 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story or three-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,733 to 4,631 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2003 to 2015. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, a basement with four having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 639 to 940 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$271,319 to \$338,458 or from \$72.68 to \$77.06 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of 12 equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #4 and #5 along with the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, which differ from the subject in age. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #5, which differs from the subject in design. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$237,880 to \$306,662 or from \$62.04 to \$77.06 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$293,478 or \$68.38 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Hogervorst, by attorney:  
David Kieta  
Kieta Law LLC  
0S331 Summit Drive  
Winfield, IL 60190

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review  
DuPage Center  
421 N. County Farm Road  
Wheaton, IL 60187