

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Shailesh C. Patel DOCKET NO.: 24-04194.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 01-14-115-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shailesh C. Patel, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC, in Winfield, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$45,510 **IMPR.:** \$156,920 **TOTAL:** \$202,430

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 3,248 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005 and is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include a walk-out style basement, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 13,669 square foot site and is located in Bartlett, Wayne Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and within .11 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction which are either 18 or 19 years old. The homes range in size from 3,194 to 3,304 square feet of living area. Each home has a basement, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a

garage ranging in size from 545 to 737 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$147,574 to \$152,280 or from \$45.95 to \$46.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$151,032 or \$46.50 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$202,430. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$156,920 or \$48.31 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum asserting none of the appellant's comparables have a walkout-style basement, like the subject, whereas each of the comparables presented by the board of review has this feature.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on nine equity comparables along with underlying property characteristics sheets. The comparables are located in the same neighborhood code and within .08 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction which are either 18 or 19 years old. The homes range in size from 2,785 to 3,438 square feet of living area. Each home has a walkout-style basement, 3½ or 4 bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 722 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$139,087 to \$162,277 or from \$47.01 to \$49.94 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of twelve equity comparables in support of their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #3 and #6 as well as board of review comparable #5, due to differing bathroom counts in these homes as compared to the subject. The Board has also given reduced weight to board of review comparable #1, which differs in dwelling size by over 14% when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparables #2, #3, #4 and #6, which are each relatively

¹ The Property Tax Appeal Board utilized the dates of construction in 2005 and 2006 as set forth in the property characteristics sheets. In the grid analysis, the board of review reported the dwellings were built in 2019 and 2020.

similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, and several features. Adjustments to several of these comparables are necessary for a one year difference in age, dwelling size, and garage size when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$149,106 to \$160,447 or from \$45.95 to \$48.93 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$156,920 or \$48.31 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Shailesh C Patel, by attorney: David Kieta Kieta Law LLC 0S331 Summit Drive Winfield, IL 60190

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187