



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dino Bavaro  
DOCKET NO.: 24-04138.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 02-05-231-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dino Bavaro, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC, in Winfield, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$50,126  
**IMPR.:** \$178,405  
**TOTAL:** \$228,531

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of mixed exterior construction with 3,232 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005 and is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has a 9,000 square foot site and is located in Roselle, Bloomingdale Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within .10 of a mile from the subject. The properties are improved with two-story dwellings of mixed or masonry exterior construction. The homes are 17 to 19 years old and range in size from 3,232 to 3,856 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from

\$173,626 to \$198,700 or from \$51.53 to \$54.44 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$170,746 or \$52.83 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$228,531. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$178,405 or \$55.20 per square foot of living area.

In a memorandum from the township assessor, it was asserted that each of the appellant's comparable properties "have partially finished basements" whereas the subject has an unfinished basement, like the comparables presented by the board of review.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of mixed exterior construction which are 18 or 19 years old. The homes range in size from 2,950 to 3,405 square feet of living area. Features include unfinished basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$163,883 to \$190,849 or from \$55.25 to \$56.06 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #1, due to the substantially larger dwelling size of approximately 19% when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best equity evidence in the record consists of appellant's comparables #2 through #5 along with the board of review comparables, which are 17 to 19 years old, similar to the subject's age of 19 years, suggesting minor adjustments to several of these comparables to make them more equivalent to the subject. The homes are similar to the subject in dwelling size and having unfinished basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car garage. Adjustments to the comparables are necessary for differences in dwelling size and basement size when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$163,883 to \$190,849 or from \$51.95 to \$56.06 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$178,405 or \$55.20 per square foot of living area falls within the

range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dino Bavaro, by attorney:  
David Kieta  
Kieta Law LLC  
0S331 Summit Drive  
Winfield, IL 60190

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review  
DuPage Center  
421 N. County Farm Road  
Wheaton, IL 60187