

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: North Creek Investment & RE Holdings Inc.

DOCKET NO.: 24-03395.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-1-02848-000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are North Creek Investment & RE Holdings Inc., the appellant, by attorney Lee Waite, of Dilsaver, Nelson & Waite in Mattoon; and the Coles County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Coles County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$667 IMPR.: \$0 TOTAL: \$667

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Coles County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a vacant residential 7,000 square foot site that is located in Mattoon, Mattoon Township, Coles County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$2,000 as of January 1, 2024. The appraisal was prepared by Brian N. Finley, a certified general real estate appraiser, to establish market value as of January 1, 2024. The appraiser reported the subject is not served by any utilities and served by a public road, alley, and street lights.

The appraiser selected three comparable sales of vacant residential lots located from 0.56 of a mile to 1.14 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 7,000 to 10,442 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2020 to April 2021 for prices of \$2,000 and \$3,000

or \$0.19 and \$0.29 per square foot of land area. The appraiser adjusted the comparables for differences from the subject in site size to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$1,200 to \$2,200. The appraiser concluded a value for the subject of \$2,000 as of January 1, 2024.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the appraised value conclusion.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$3,760. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$11,281 or \$1.61 per square foot of land area when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables, for which no sales data was reported. The board of review noted the subject's assessment reflect the application of an equalization factor. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the appraiser selected comparables that are similar in size and location to the subject and sold relatively proximate in time to the assessment date and the appraiser made reasonable adjustments for differences from the subject. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$11,281 or \$1.61 per square foot of land area, which is above the appraised value conclusion. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$2,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

-

¹ Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2024.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	Michl 216
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

North Creek Investment & RE Holdings Inc, by attorney: Lee Waite Dilsaver, Nelson & Waite 1500 Broadway Ave. P.O. Box 649 Mattoon, IL 61938

COUNTY

Coles County Board of Review Coles County Courthouse 651 Jackson Avenue Charleston, IL 61920