

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew Raddatz DOCKET NO.: 24-03393.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-26-402-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew Raddatz, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$41,027 **IMPR.:** \$190,562 **TOTAL:** \$231,589

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 4,365 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1997 and is 27 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 1,168 square foot garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 51,401 square foot site and is located in Crystal Lake, Nunda Township, McHenry County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from .39 of a mile to 1.88 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick and frame

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant in rebuttal, to be the best evidence of the subject's features.

exterior construction ranging in size from 4,289 to 4,436 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2004 to 2008. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, a partial basement with finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 770 to 868 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$174,556 to \$178,914 or from \$40.14 to \$40.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$176,040 or \$40.33 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$231,589. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$190,562 or \$43.66 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .47 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,250 to 4,420 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 17 to 22 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, a basement with finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 880 to 958 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$187,404 to \$192,859 or from \$42.42 to \$45.08 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to comparables submitted by the appellant, as well as board of review comparable #1, which differ from the subject in age and/or location.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2 and #3, which are more similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$187,477 and \$192,859 or \$42.42 and \$45.08 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$190,562 or \$43.66 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Soffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025	
	Michl 215	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

MATTHEW RADDATZ, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

# **COUNTY**

McHenry County Board of Review McHenry County Government Center 2200 N. Seminary Ave. Woodstock, IL 60098