

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cynthia Komarek DOCKET NO.: 24-03190.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-26-251-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cynthia Komarek, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$44,404 **IMPR.:** \$159,718 **TOTAL:** \$204,122

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 3,235 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001 and is approximately 23 years old. Features of the home include an English basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 799 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 46,174 square foot site and is located in Prairie Grove, Nunda Township, McHenry County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located in the same township and section as the subject, one of which is on the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes ranging in size from 4,007 to 4,753 square feet of living area. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional features of the subject not reported by the appellant are found in the board of review's evidence and were not refuted by the appellant.

comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, site size, and features and sold from May 2022 to December 2023 for prices ranging from \$532,500 to \$750,000 or from 132.89 to \$157.80 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$204,122. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$612,427 or \$189.31 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.46 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 43,560 to 54,450 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes ranging in size from 2,941 to 3,806 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 25 to 31 years old. Each home has a basement, two of which are English-style and three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 622 to 832 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2023 to June 2024 for prices ranging from \$565,000 to \$725,000 or from \$190.49 to \$197.76 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted a letter from the township assessor's office pointing out discrepancies in the appellant's grid analysis and contending these comparables are much larger homes than the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparable #3, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #4, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and most features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$565,000 to \$715,000 or from \$192.11 to \$197.76 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2024.

assessment reflects a market value of \$612,427 or \$189.31 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in terms of total market value and below the best comparables on a per square foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. her
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	Middl 214
	Charles of the Dunary of Terry Annual Design

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Cynthia Komarek, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

# **COUNTY**

McHenry County Board of Review McHenry County Government Center 2200 N. Seminary Ave. Woodstock, IL 60098