



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Brad Schulman
DOCKET NO.: 24-02768.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-29-104-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Brad Schulman, the appellant, by attorney Steven Kandelman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$59,892
IMPR.: \$420,718
TOTAL: \$480,610

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 4,776 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2017 and is approximately 7 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, 5½ baths, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 703 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 15,000 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from .01 to .64 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites with 18,000 or 20,060 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding, stucco or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,386 to 5,370 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2017 to 2020. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, 4 or 5 full baths or 1 or 2

half baths, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 693 to 836 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The properties sold from October 2023 to December 2024 for prices ranging from \$1,090,000 to \$1,300,000 or from \$216.01 to \$296.40 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$388,186 which reflects a market value of \$1,164,674 or \$243.86 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$480,610. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,441,974 or \$301.92 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located from .09 to .32 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 11,175 to 22,400 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,148 to 4,352 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2003 to 2008. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, 3½ to 5½ baths, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 640 to 1,136 square feet of building area. The properties sold from May 2023 to August 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,400,000 to \$1,600,000 or from \$325.43 to \$380.91 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #3 which has an inground swimming pool, a feature lacking in the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant comparables #1 and #2 along with the board of review's comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, and style, basement area, and other features. These comparables sold from May 2023 to December 2024 for prices ranging from \$1,090,000 to \$1,600,000 or from \$216.01 to \$380.91 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

\$1,441,794 or \$301.92 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected on the assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Brad Schulman, by attorney:
Steven Kandelman
Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman
100 North LaSalle St.
Suite 1410
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085