



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Igor Mesin  
DOCKET NO.: 24-02531.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-23-101-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Igor Mesin, the appellant, by attorney Anthony DeFrenza, of the Law Office of DeFrenza & Mosconi PC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$79,009  
**IMPR.:** \$178,268  
**TOTAL:** \$257,277

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,986 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1976 and is approximately 48 years old. The subject dwelling has no basement foundation and features central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 667 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 37,026 square foot river front site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and from 0.12 of a mile to 1.66 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,364 to 4,103 square feet of living area that are 45 to 48 years old. Two comparables have a basement, with one having finished area and

three comparables have no basement foundation. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 480 to 770 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$65,003 to \$153,064 or from \$15.84 to \$41.48 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$118,041 or \$29.61 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$257,277. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$178,268 or \$44.72 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction with 3,504 or 3,601 square feet of living area that were built in 1965 and 1972. Each comparable lacks a basement foundation and has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage with 788 or 1,451 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$158,711 and \$169,775 or \$45.29 and \$47.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier, based on its improvement assessment per square foot. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #4 and #5 along with board of review comparable #2 which are less similar to the subject in location, foundation type and/or garage amenity than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparables #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparable #1 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$97,652 to \$158,711 or from \$25.06 to \$45.29 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$178,268 or \$44.72 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis and within the range on a per square foot basis. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Igor Mesin, by attorney:  
Anthony DeFrenza  
Law Office of DeFrenza & Mosconi PC  
425 Huehl Road  
Bldg. 13A  
Northbrook, Il 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085