



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Barringer  
DOCKET NO.: 24-02133.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-21-301-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Barringer, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$41,992  
**IMPR.:** \$183,024  
**TOTAL:** \$225,016

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 3,472 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1992. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 545 square foot garage. The property has a 44,465 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from .07 of a mile to 1.78 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of brick or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,133 to 4,647 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1977 to 1990. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one to four fireplaces, a basement with four having

finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 572 to 874 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 40,304 to 61,529 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February 2022 to March 2024 for prices ranging from \$510,000 to \$791,500 or from \$162.78 to \$187.36 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$200,650, for an estimated market value of \$602,010 or \$173.39 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$225,016. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$675,116 or \$194.45 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood, along the subject's street, and within .22 of a mile of the subject. Comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #1. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,990 to 4,261 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 1990. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, a basement with finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 610 to 806 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 43,527 to 55,659 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2022 to June 2024 for prices ranging from \$765,000 to \$923,000 or from \$186.51 to \$257.53 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #4 differ from the subject in condition, dwelling size, and/or features.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant, including the parties' shared comparable, as well as the board of review's comparable #4, which differ from the

---

<sup>1</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

subject in dwelling size, age, and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2024 assessment date at issue.

The Board finds the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These comparables sold for prices of \$765,000 and \$770,000 or for \$203.24 and \$257.53 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$675,116 or \$194.45 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the two best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

JOHN BARRINGER, by attorney:  
Andrew J. Rukavina  
The Tax Appeal Company  
28643 North Sky Crest Drive  
Mundelein, IL 60060

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085