



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Douglas Atkin
DOCKET NO.: 24-02121.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-16-203-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Douglas Atkin, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$33,570
IMPR.: \$204,217
TOTAL: \$237,787

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 3,494 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1988. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 792 square foot garage. The property has a 38,035 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .34 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,448 to 3,834 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1971 to 1988. Each dwelling has a basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a

garage ranging in size from 621 to 863 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 39,595 to 46,075 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from April 2022 to May 2024 for prices ranging from \$480,000 to \$675,000 or from \$176.06 to \$196.08 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$222,808, for an estimated market value of \$668,491 or \$191.33 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$237,787. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$713,432 or \$204.19 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .4 of a mile of the subject.² The comparables consist of 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,003 to 3,315 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1975 to 1989. Each dwelling has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 792 to 1,141 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The parcels range in size from 40,733 to 67,808 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March to July 2022 for prices ranging from \$705,000 to \$765,000 or from \$212.67 to \$254.75 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also submitted a memorandum in which it asserted that the appellant's comparable #1 is a dissimilar 1-story home and comparables #3 and #4 differ from the subject in dwelling size.³ Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's comparables differed from the subject in design, dwelling size, and/or swimming pool and sport court features.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

² The board of review also submitted a second grid analysis containing the same three comparables as well as one additional comparable labeled as comparable #4. The Board finds this additional comparable was not presented on PTAB's prescribed forms as required by Section 1910.80 of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Therefore, pursuant to the Board's Standing Order No. 2, the additional comparable property submitted by the board of review will receive no weight in the Board's analysis.

³ The Board finds the property sketch contained in the property record card submitted by the board of review to be the best evidence in the record of the design of appellant's comparable #1. The sketch depicts a part 1-story and part 1.5-story dwelling.

or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4, which differ from the subject in dwelling size and/or age. The Board also gives reduced weight to the board of review's comparable #3, which differs from the subject in age and features an inground swimming pool unlike the subject.

The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$675,000 to \$740,000 or from \$176.06 to \$245.36 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$713,432 or \$204.19 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

DOUGLAS ATKIN, by attorney:
Andrew J. Rukavina
The Tax Appeal Company
28643 North Sky Crest Drive
Mundelein, IL 60060

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085