



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Christine Lam
DOCKET NO.: 24-02033.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-32-212-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christine Lam, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$52,965
IMPR.: \$158,712
TOTAL: \$211,677

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick with frame exterior construction with 3,081 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1997 and is approximately 27 years old. Features of the home include a 2,386 square foot basement, central air conditioning, three full bathrooms, one half bathroom, and a 418 square foot garage. The property has a 14,406 square foot site and is located in Vernon Hills, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject, two of which are on the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes ranging in size from 3,069 to 3,132 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 27 or 28 years old. Each home has a 1,781 or an 1,828 square foot basement, central air conditioning, two full bathrooms,

one or two half bathrooms, and a 418 or a 598 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$153,589 to \$154,064 or from \$49.19 to \$50.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$151,585.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$211,677. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$158,712 or \$51.51 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within 0.10 of a mile from the subject, two of which are on the same street as the subject. Comparables #1, #2, and #3 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3, respectively, described above. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of three comparables, which are common to both parties, for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the comparables are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and most features, although these comparables each have one less full bathroom than the subject and smaller basements than the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to the comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. However, two comparables each have a larger garage than the subject and one comparable has one more half bathroom than the subject, suggesting downward adjustments for these features would be needed.

The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$153,589 to \$154,064 or from \$49.19 to \$50.05 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$158,712 or \$51.51 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, such as their inferior full bathroom count and smaller basement size, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christine Lam, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085