



## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Brian Holland  
DOCKET NO.: 24-01715.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-34-301-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Brian Holland, the appellant, by attorney Arden Edelcup, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

<b>LAND:</b>	\$79,219
<b>IMPR.:</b>	\$172,997
<b>TOTAL:</b>	\$252,216

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,085 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1977 and is approximately 59 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 506 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 13,662 square feet of land area and is located in Deerfield, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,955 to 3,300 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1964 to 1967. Each comparable has a basement, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 442 to 598 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$160,588 to \$179,322 or from \$54.05 to \$54.43 per square

foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$167,903 or \$54.43 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$252,216. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$172,997 or \$56.08 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,043 to 3,094 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 58 to 61 years old. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 484 to 576 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$173,578 to \$197,954 or from \$56.10 to \$64.86 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to its lack of central air conditioning when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #2 due to its inground swimming pool, an amenity the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #3, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4. The Board finds that these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and some features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$160,588 to \$197,954 or from \$54.05 to \$64.86 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$172,997 or \$56.08 per square foot of living area, falls within the range established by the best comparables contained in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 20, 2026



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

**PARTIES OF RECORD**

**AGENCY**

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

**APPELLANT**

Brian Holland , by attorney:  
Arden Edelcup  
Tax Appeals Lake County  
830 West IL Route 22  
Suite 286  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

**COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085