

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Varughese Eapen DOCKET NO.: 24-01713.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-19-212-020

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Varughese Eapen, the appellant, by attorney David Kieta, of Kieta Law LLC in Winfield; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$28,155 **IMPR.:** \$145,261 **TOTAL:** \$173,416

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,893 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 25 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 480 square foot garage. The property has a 12,197 square foot site and is located in Gurnee, Warren Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .1 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,904 to 4,062 square feet of living area. The homes are either 25 or 27

¹ The board finds the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review to be the best evidence of the subject's features.

years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a full basement, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 480 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$134,057 to \$141,312 or from \$33.73 to \$35.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$135,242 or \$34.74 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$173,416. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$145,261 or \$37.31 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .23 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,824 to 3,942 square feet of living area. The homes are either 24 or 26 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, a basement with finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 406 to 700 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$142,282 to \$153,704 or from \$37.21 to \$39.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant, which lack basement finish information, diminishing the Board's ability to make an accurate comparative analysis.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables presented by the board of review, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$142,282 to \$153,704 or from \$37.21 to \$39.03 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$145,261 or \$37.31 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 21. Fer | |
|--|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| a Residence of the second of t | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan Dikini | Sarah Bokley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | November 25, 2025 |
|-------|--|
| | Middl 214 |
| | Charles of the Dunary of Terry Annual Design |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Varughese Eapen, by attorney: David Kieta Kieta Law LLC 0S331 Summit Drive Winfield, IL 60190

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085