



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Martin Malanowicz
DOCKET NO.: 24-01691.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-13-105-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Martin Malanowicz, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$41,142
IMPR.: \$151,836
TOTAL: \$192,978

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 2,234 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1976 and is 48 years old. Features of the home include a finished lower level, a finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 702 square foot garage. The property has a 49,388 square foot site and is located in North Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located from 1.4 to 1.89 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of brick, frame, or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,961 to 2,447 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 66 to 73 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a basement with three having finished area. Three comparables each have a

garage ranging in size from 400 to 687 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 9,999 to 53,142 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February to November 2023 for prices ranging from \$391,900 to \$500,000 or from \$199.25 to \$221.62 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$169,767, for an estimated market value of \$509,352 or \$228.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$192,978. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$578,992 or \$259.17 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located from 2.28 to 2.58 miles from the subject.² The comparables consist of split-level dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,161 to 2,703 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1960 to 1984. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, a finished lower level, and one or two garages ranging in size from 572 to 900 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a basement, one of which has finished area. One comparable has an inground swimming pool and hot tub. The parcels range in size from 41,195 to 100,018 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February to June 2023 for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$697,500 or from \$254.51 to \$274.03 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant, which differ from the subject in age, design, site size, and/or features.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

² The board of review also submitted a grid analysis containing the same three comparables as well as one additional comparable. The Board finds this fourth comparable was not presented on PTAB's prescribed forms as required by Section 1910.80 of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Therefore, pursuant to the Board's Standing Order No. 2, the additional comparable property submitted by the board of review will receive no weight in the Board's analysis.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the comparables presented by the board of review, which are overall more similar to the subject in age, design, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$697,500 or from \$254.51 to \$274.03 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$578,992 or \$259.17 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Martin Malanowicz, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085