



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Frank Fiore
DOCKET NO.: 24-01553.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-34-401-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Frank Fiore, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$37,971
IMPR.: \$235,017
TOTAL: \$272,988

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 4,860 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002 and is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, 4½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 1,197 square foot garage, and an inground swimming pool.¹ The property has an approximately 57,410 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 1.01-miles from the subject. Each comparable has the same assigned assessment neighborhood code as the subject.

¹ The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's characteristics was provided by the board of review with a copy of the property record card, which was not refuted by the appellant.

The parcels range in size from 44,074 to 65,066 square feet of land area which are each improved with either a 1.5-story or a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 34 to 37 years old and range in size from 4,104 to 5,260 square feet of living area. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, 2½ or 4 bathrooms, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 544 to 912 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February to June 2022 for prices ranging from \$615,000 to \$730,000 or from \$123.57 to \$149.85 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced total assessment of \$217,058 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$651,174 or \$133.99 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$272,988. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$819,046 or \$168.53 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within .37 of a mile from the subject. The parcels contain either 45,446 or 48,452 square feet of land area and are each improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction. The homes are 18 and 30 years old, respectively, and contain 3,865 and 3,964 square feet of living area, respectively. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has 2,529 square feet of finished area. Features include 3½ and 4½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, one and three fireplaces, and a 1,109 and 794 square foot garage, respectively. The comparables sold in February 2022 and May 2024 for prices of \$712,500 and \$750,000 or for \$184.35 and \$189.20 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five suggested comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables, due to their significantly older ages when compared to the subject.

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the issuance of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish Table 3 with the figures for tax year 2024.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value consists of the board of review comparables, which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, design, exterior construction, dwelling size, basement size, basement finish, bathroom count, and several features. Adjustments to these comparables are necessary for differences in lot size, age, dwelling size, basement size, finished basement, fireplace count, and/or garage size to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold in February 2022 and May 2024 for prices of \$712,500 and \$750,000 or for \$184.35 and \$189.20 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$819,046 or \$168.53 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the best comparable sales in this record in terms of overall value and below the best comparables on a per-square-foot of living area basis. Given the subject's age, larger dwelling size, larger garage, and inground swimming pool amenity, the Board finds the subject's overall higher value is logical on this record.

Based on this evidence, considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparable sales in the record for differences from the subject to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Frank Fiore, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085